

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

AGUSTIN LIMON-URIBE,

Petitioner,

v.

WARDEN,

Respondent.

No. 2:23-cv-1611 KJN P

ORDER AND FINDINGS AND
RECOMMENDATIONS

Petitioner, a federal inmate proceeding pro se, filed an application for a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241. By an order filed August 11, 2023, petitioner was ordered to file an in forma pauperis affidavit or to pay the appropriate filing fee, within thirty days, or his application would be dismissed. The thirty day period has expired, and petitioner has not responded to the court's order, has not filed an in forma pauperis affidavit, and has not paid the appropriate filing fee.


Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court is directed to assign a district judge to this case; and

IT IS RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice.

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen days after being served with these findings and recommendations, petitioner may file written

1 objections with the court. The document should be captioned “Objections to Magistrate Judge’s
2 Findings and Recommendations.” Petitioner is advised that failure to file objections within the
3 specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court’s order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951
4 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

5 Dated: October 12, 2023

6 
7 _____
8 KENDALL J. NEWMAN
9 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

10 /limo1611.fpfh
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28